	Case 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF Document	2176 Filed 07/21/11 Page 1 of 4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	FRANCIS B. MAJORIE (Pro Hac Vice) THE MAJORIE FIRM, LTD. 3514 Cedar Springs Road Dallas, Texas 75219 Phone: 214-522-7400 Fax: 214-522-7911 fbmajorie@themajoriefirm.com  MELANIE A. HILL Nevada Bar. No. 8796 LAW OFFICE OF MELANIE HILL 9345 W. Sunset Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Phone: 702-362-8500 Fax: 702-362-8505 Melanie@MelanieHillLaw.com  Counsel for Silar Advisors, LP	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	IN RE:	Case No. 2:07-cv-892-RCJ-GWF-BASE
16 17	USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,	JOINT EMERGENCY MOTION TO VACATE FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER AWARDING DECLARATORY
18	Debtor.	RELIEF, DAMAGES, ATTORNEYS'
19	3685 SAN FERNANDO LENDERS, LLC, et a	Interest, and benting
20	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS' POST-TRIAL MOTIONS FOR JUDGMENT AS A
21	v.	MATTER OF LAW AND A NEW TRIAL
22	COMPASS USA SPE LLC, et al.	and
23		
- 1	Defendants.	ORDER
24	Defendants.	ORDER
25	Defendants.	ORDER
25 26		
25	THIS JOINT <i>EMERGENCY</i> MOTION COURT HAD GRANTED DEFENDA	ORDER ON IS BEING FILED BECAUSE THE ANT'S ORAL REQUEST AT THE JUNE TO REVIEW AND OBJECT TO THE

Page 1 of 4

PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED FINAL JUDGMENT AND TO REQUEST A HEARING ON THEIR OBJECTIONS. ADDITIONALLY, THE PLAINTIFFS HAD AGREED TO PROVIDE DEFENDANTS AN ADDITIONAL 15 DAYS TO OBJECT GIVING DEFENDANTS UNTIL AUGUST 8, 2011, AND THE DEFENDANTS WERE IN THE PROCESS OF PREPARING SAID STIPULATION WHEN THE COURT ENTERED THE FINAL JUDGMENT.

Certain Direct Lenders, by and through their undersigned counsel, Michael Collins, Bickel & Brewer and Janet L. Chubb, Armstrong Teasdale; Silar Advisors LP and Silar Special Opportunities Funds LP (collectively, "Silar"), by and through their undersigned counsel, Francis B. Majorie, The Majorie Firm, LP, and Melanie A. Hill, Law Office of Melanie Hill; Compass Partners, LLC, Compass USA SPE, Ltd., Boris Piskun and David Blatt (collectively "Compass") by and through their undersigned counsel Daniel T. Hayward, Laxalt & Nomura, Ltd.; and the Chapter 7 Trustee William A. Leonard, Jr., by and through counsel, Jonathan S. Dabbieri, Sullivan, Hill, Lewin, Rez & Engel, jointly move as follows:

1. At the June 9, 2011 hearing on the post-trial motions, the Court ordered plaintiffs to submit a proposed judgment and findings of fact and conclusions of law within thirty (30) days of the hearing date. The Court granted Silar's oral request on behalf of all Defendants for fifteen (15) days to review the proposed judgment, findings of fact, and conclusions of law to be submitted by Plaintiffs and file Objections. If the Defendants filed objections to the Proposed Judgment within fifteen (15) days, the Court advised that a hearing would be set on the matter. See Transcript of Hearing at p. 134, l. 13 – p. 135, l. 15 which stated as follows:

THE COURT: No, I think the bankruptcy rules, although it doesn't govern me in 892, suggest that they are supposed to pass by you proposed order or proposed findings and conclusions, and basically you have five days under the bankruptcy rules to object, otherwise I will

sign them, and if you do object, I'll give you a hearing.

MR. MAJORIE: Okay. And I would just ask in light of the complexity and probability the length of their proposal, could we at least have 15 days from their submission? Because they may submit –

THE COURT: Before I sign them?

MR. MAJORIE: And I'm not casting anything other than maybe -

THE COURT: Sounds reasonable.

MR. MAJORIE: Thank you.

- 2. On July 8, 2011, Plaintiffs submitted their Final Judgment And Order Awarding Declaratory Relief, Damages, Attorneys' Fees, and Prejudgment Interest And Denying Defendants' Post-Trial Motions and Judgment As a Matter of Law [Doc. 2170] ("Proposed Judgment"). The Proposed Judgment was not provided to the Defendants prior to its filing with the Court.
- 3. On July 15, 2011, the Court signed and filed the Proposed Judgment [Doc. 2171] prior to the expiration of the fifteen (15) days granted to Defendants to file objections and request a hearing on their objections.
- 4. Due to the length of the Proposed Judgment, ongoing discussions among counsel, and other reasons, the parties reached an agreement yesterday, July 14, 2011, that defendants shall have until August 8, 2011 to file a response to the Court regarding the Proposed Judgment. The parties were in the process of finalizing a Stipulation regarding the further extension when the Court filed the Proposed Judgment today, July 15, 2011.

Accordingly, it is hereby jointly requested the court vacate its entry of the Proposed Judgment so the Defendants will have the authorized 15 days (plus the additional 15 days agreed upon by the parties) in which to file any appropriate objections and request that the Court set a hearing on the matter.

Case 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF Document 2176 Filed 07/21/11 Page 4 of 4

28